THE LAW OFFICES OF BENJAMIN G. KELSEN, ESQ. LLC 1415 Queen Anne Road, Suite 206

Teaneck, NJ 07666 Phone: 201-692-0073 Fax: 201-692-0151

Email: info@kelsenlaw.com

SAUL FALACK and CLAUDETTE FALACK,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

DISCOVER FINANCIAL SERVICES

c/o CT Corporation System 1515 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19102-1921 and

DISCOVER FINANFCIAL SERVICES, LLC

c/o CT Corporation System 1515 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19102-1921 and

DISCOVER BANK

c/o CT Corporation System 1515 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19102-1921,

ABC COMPANY, INC., JOHN DOES (1-10),

Defendant(s)

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

CIVIL ACTION:

COMPLAINT and DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, SAUL FALACK (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), a New Jersey resident, brings this complaint by and through their attorneys, THE LAW OFFICES OF BENJAMIN G. KELSEN, ESQ. LLC, against DISCOVER CARD INC., and JOHN DOE (1-10) (hereinafter "Discover" or "Defendant"), pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 47 U.S.C. § 227.
- 2. The Court also has supplemental jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 3. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a)(2), 1391(b)(2) because this is the judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims in this case occurred.

PARTIES

- 4. The Plaintiffs are natural persons, married and a resident of the State of New Jersey, and is a "Consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692(a)(3).
- 5. Plaintiffs reside in Lakewood, NJ 08701-1463.
- 6. Plaintiffs are the sole subscribers of the Verizon Wireless cellular phone account bearing the phone number of 646-269-9871, and have been the sole subscribers of that account at all times relevant hereto.
- 7. Plaintiffs are the sole parties financially responsible for the payment of the Verizon Wireless account bearing the phone number of 646-269-9871, and have been the sole parties financially responsible for that account at all times relevant hereto.
- 8. The above referenced number is part of a "family plan" and is associated with a cellular phone usually utilized by the Plaintiff **SAUL FALACK**.
- 9. Plaintiff **SAUL FALACK** is and has been the regular user of that phone number at all times relevant hereto.
- 10. Plaintiffs are the "called party" as that term is used by 47 U.S.C. §227 with respect to the calls placed on his cellular telephone number 646-269-9871.
- 11. On information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that Discover Financial Services is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a Delaware corporation and the parent company of Defendants Discover Financial Services, LLC and Discover Bank, with a registered office address of CT Corporation Systems, 1515 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19102.
- 12. On information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that Discover Financial Services, LLC, is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a Delaware corporation, with a registered office address of CT Corporation Systems, 1515 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19102.

- 13. On information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that Discover Bank is, and all times mentioned herein was, a Delaware corporation, with a registered office address of CT Corporation Systems, 1515 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19102.
- 14. Defendants, Discover Financial Services, Discovery Financial Services, LLC and Discover Bank are collectively referred to herein as ("Discover" or "Defendants").
- 15. Discover at all relevant times was, a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).
- 16. Defendant is a debt collector as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692(a)(6).
- 17. **ABC CORPORATION, INC.**, is a fictitious names of individuals and businesses alleged for the purpose of substituting names of Defendants whose identities will be disclosed in discovery and should be made parties to this action.
- 18. **JOHN DOES 1-10**, are fictitious names of individuals and businesses alleged for the purpose of substituting names of Defendants whose identities will be disclosed in discovery and should be made parties to this action.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 19. Plaintiff brings this action individually seeking redress for Defendant's actions which violate the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. §227 (hereinafter "TCPA"), as well as the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §1692 (hereinafter "FDCPA").
- 20. The regulations under the TCPA prohibit placing calls to a cellular telephone via an automated telephone dialing system (an "ATDS") without the called party's prior express consent.
- 21. The regulations under the TCPA further prohibit calling a cellular telephone with an ATDS after prior express consent was revoked.
- 22. Section 47 U.S.C. §227(b) of the TCPA provides "[i]t shall be unlawful for any person within the United States, or any person outside the United States if the recipient is within the United States...to make any call (other than a call made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) using any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice to... any telephone number assigned to a paging service, cellular telephone service . . . or any service for which the called party is charged for the call;"
- 23. Upon information and belief, the Defendant has recently called the Plaintiff's cell phone in violation of the TCPA over fifty-six (56) times.
- 24. Defendant's actions violated § 1692 et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices.

25. Plaintiffs are seeking damages, and declaratory and injunctive relief.

ALLEGATIONS OF FACT

- 26. On or about 2007, the Plaintiffs opened a credit card account with the Defendant Discover Card.
- 27. Due to unforeseen circumstances, Plaintiffs fell behind on payments to the Defendant.
- 28. At that time, the account was in default and was an alleged consumer debt as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692(a)(5).
- 29. During that time period, beginning on or about January 10, 2016 the Plaintiff started receiving numerous phone calls from Discover Card on his cell phone.
- 30. On or around January 10, 2016, Plaintiff called the Defendant requesting that all communication to his cell phone cease, and revoking any consent he may have given for him to receive phone calls regarding this account.
- 31. Defendant continued contacting the Plaintiff via telephone numerous times in an attempt to collect the past due on these accounts
- 32. Defendant would call Plaintiff's cellular telephone, using an automatic telephone dialing system to initial the telephone call.
- 33. On most of these calls, including a call on January 11, 2016, Defendant would leave a voicemail in which there would be a three second pause followed by a beep prior to a live person speaking and leaving a very similar generic message.
- 34. Defendant's use of an automated telephone dialing system was clearly indicated by the placement of over 56 calls to the Plaintiff in a one month period, the placement of sometimes three calls to the Plaintiff per day, and the telltale beeps, clicks and pauses before a human voice would come on the line when the Plaintiff would answer the phone.
- 35. These phone calls were made several times a day, on a daily basis, with such frequency as can reasonably be expected to harass.
- 36. Defendant had ignored Plaintiff's request and continued to call Plaintiff's cellular phone numerous times on a daily basis, sometimes up to 3 times daily.
- 37. Pursuant to section 15 U.S.C. 1692e(11) a debt collector must in all subsequent communications with a consumer disclose that the communication was coming from a debt collector.

- 38. The telephone calls at issue were placed by Defendant using an automated telephone dialing system (hereafter "ATDS") in violation of the TCPA.
- 39. The ATDS used by Defendant has the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator, or the ability to dial those stored telephone numbers from its database without human intervention.
- 40. Defendant willfully and/or knowingly violated the TCPA with respect to Plaintiff.
- 41. Despite actual knowledge of its wrongdoing, Defendant continued its campaign of abuse.
- 42. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants acts or omissions, as set forth herein, Plaintiff has suffered compensatory, statutory, and actual damages.

CAUSES OF ACTION COUNT I NEGLIGENT VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- 43. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 44. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 45. As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 *et seq.*, Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 46. Plaintiffs are also entitled to and seeks injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

COUNT II

KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

47. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

- 48. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 49. As a result of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 *et seq.*, Plaintiffs are entitled to treble damages, as provided by statute, up to \$1,500.00 for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(c).
- 50. Plaintiffs are also entitled to and seeks injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

COUNT III

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §1692e et seq.

- 51. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 52. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated section 15 USC § 1692e of the FDCPA.
- 53. Pursuant to 15 USC §1692e, a debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- 54. Defendant violated said section by failing to disclose in their voicemail message with the Plaintiff that the communication was from a debt collector in violation of 15 USC §1692e(11).
- 55. As a result of Defendant's violations of the FDCPA, Plaintiff has been damaged and is entitled to damages in accordance with the FDCPA.
- 56. By reason thereof, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692e *et seq*. of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for the following relief:

- On the First Count for Negligent Violations of the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. §227 et seq., Plaintiff seeks \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) as a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1); (ii) injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A); and (iii) any other relief the Court may deem just and proper; and
- 2. On the Second Count for Knowing and/or Willful Violation of the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. §227 et seq., Plaintiff seeks up to \$1,500.00 for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C) as a result of Defendant's willful and/or knowing violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1); (ii) injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A); and any other relief the Court may deem just and proper.
- 3. On the Third Count, for violations of the FDCA, 15 USC 1692 *et seq.*, Plaintiff demands judgment (iii) Awarding Plaintiffs actual damages; (iv) Awarding Plaintiffs costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses; (v) Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and (vi) Awarding Plaintiffs such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the complaint.

Dated: February 11, 2016 /s/ Benjamin G. Kelsen, Esq.

THE LAW OFFICES OF BENJAMIN G. KELSEN, ESQ. LLC

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 11.2

I, Benjamin G. Kelsen, Esq., the undersigned attorney of record for Plaintiffs, do hereby certify to my own knowledge and based upon information available to me at my office, the matter in controversy is not the subject of any other action now pending in any court or in any arbitration or administrative proceeding.

Dated: February 11, 2016 /s/ Benjamin G. Kelsen, Esq.

THE LAW OFFICES OF
BENJAMIN G. KELSEN, ESQ. LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff

DOCUMENT PRESERVATION DEMAND

Plaintiffs hereby demands that each defendant take affirmative steps to preserve all recordings, data, documents, and all other tangible things that relate to plaintiff, the events described herein, any third party associated with any telephone call, campaign, account, sale or file associated with plaintiff, and any account or number or symbol relating to them. These materials are likely very relevant to the litigation of this claim. If any defendant is aware of any third party that has possession, custody, or control of any such materials, plaintiff demands that defendant request that such third party also take steps to preserve the materials. This demand shall not narrow the scope of any independent document preservation duties of the defendants.

Dated: February 11, 2016 /s/ Benjamin G. Kelsen, Esq.

THE LAW OFFICES OF BENJAMIN G. KELSEN, ESQ. LLC Attorneys for Plaintiff